

08:14

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

ACQIS LLC

\*

\* March 22, 2024

VS.

\*

\* CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:20-CV-966

ASUSTEK COMPUTER, INC. \*

ASUS GLOBAL PTE. LTD. \*

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS  
Volume 5 of 5

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Case L. Collard, Esq.  
Gregory S. Tamkin, Esq.  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
1400 Wewatta Street, Suite 400  
Denver, CO 80202

Madeline Hepler, Esq.  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
701 5th Ave, Suite 6100  
Seattle, WA 98104

Elliot J. Hales, Esq.  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
111 S. Main Street, Suite 2100  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Paige Arnette Amstutz, Esq.  
Scott, Douglass & McConnico, LLP  
303 Colorado Street, Suite 2400  
Austin, TX 78701

For the Defendants: Eric A Buresh, Esq.  
Mark C. Lang, Esq.  
Michelle L. Marriott, Esq.  
Erise IP, PA  
7015 College Boulevard  
Overland Park, KS 66211

James Travis Underwood, Esq.  
Gillam & Smith  
102 N. College, Suite 800  
Tyler, TX 75702

1 Court Reporter: Kristie M. Davis, CRR, RMR  
2 PO Box 20994  
3 Waco, Texas 76702-0994  
4 (254) 340-6114

5 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,  
6 transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

10:23

10:23 1 (Hearing begins.)

10:23 2 THE COURT: Jury Note No. 3: In simple  
10:23 3 terms, what is the difference between direct and  
10:23 4 indirect infringement? The word "induce" is confusing  
10:24 5 to us.

10:24 6 I am responding: Ladies and gentlemen,  
10:24 7 you have the Court's instructions on the law which  
10:24 8 explain these terms. Please continue to deliberate.

10:26 9 (Recess taken.)

11:32 10 THE COURT: What's up?

11:32 11 MR. TAMKIN: Your Honor, in looking at  
11:32 12 the jury verdict form, we've noticed a potential  
11:32 13 mistake in the instructions part of it, which is at the  
11:32 14 end of the alter ego section, there is a question that  
11:32 15 says if you answer no to all the alter ego questions,  
11:32 16 go to the end and you're done.

11:32 17 However, if the jury finds infringement  
11:32 18 for ASGL, then there would still need to be questions  
11:32 19 on notice, damages, et cetera.

11:32 20 So right now I have no idea where the  
11:32 21 jury's going to come out on any of this, obviously. It  
11:32 22 may not be an issue that comes up at all, but  
11:32 23 theoretically, the jury could answer infringement or  
11:32 24 indirect infringement for ASGL, answer no for agency or  
11:32 25 alter ego and then be done.

11:33 1 At that point we would -- that would be  
11:33 2 an unusual situation where we would think the approach  
11:33 3 would have to be that the jury still needs to answer  
11:33 4 those questions with respect to ASGL, and we'd need to  
11:33 5 ask them to do that.

11:33 6 Another alternative would be to, you  
11:33 7 know, pull out that instruction page from the verdict  
11:33 8 form. I don't know that that's necessary at this time,  
11:33 9 but I wanted to at least raise this with the Court when  
11:33 10 we spotted this -- this probably error in the  
11:33 11 instruction -- in the instructions part of the verdict  
11:33 12 form.

11:33 13 THE COURT: A lesser person -- not me,  
11:33 14 but a lesser person would point out that I told you all  
11:33 15 that -- to be very careful with the verdict form  
11:33 16 because I don't go over the verdict form after the  
11:33 17 charge conference. And so if there's a problem with  
11:33 18 it, it's really bad if it surfaces once the jury has  
11:33 19 it. So I wouldn't say that. Only someone -- a lesser  
11:34 20 person would say it.

11:34 21 So what is your suggestion?

11:34 22 MR. TAMKIN: I think we should just pull  
11:34 23 it out now.

11:34 24 THE COURT: Pull out --

11:34 25 MR. TAMKIN: Pull out the instruction,

11:34 1 say that instruction that follows -- it's on Page 6 --  
11:34 2 should -- was inadvertent and shouldn't be there.

11:34 3 THE COURT: And the response from the  
11:34 4 defendant?

11:34 5 MR. BURESH: Your Honor, I'm trying to --  
11:34 6 I'm not 100 percent convinced whether there's an error  
11:34 7 on this or not. Honestly, I'm trying to process it.

11:34 8 But regardless, I don't think you can  
11:34 9 pull the verdict form that you've already given to the  
11:34 10 jury out of the jury room. It's -- they're in  
11:34 11 deliberations. So I think the chips have to fall where  
11:34 12 they are at this point.

11:34 13 THE COURT: So let me put on the record.  
11:34 14 I have -- in one time in the past, when the -- when one  
11:34 15 of the parties prepared the verdict form for the jury,  
11:34 16 they failed to include a portion of it that everyone  
11:35 17 agreed should be in there, and so we added that.  
11:35 18 That's another thing.

11:35 19 But the jury's now been deliberating for  
11:35 20 six hoursish. And they have the jury form. On top of  
11:35 21 that, we know from their question, they're already  
11:35 22 having a hard time with it.

11:35 23 So I'm just -- I'm not going to do  
11:35 24 anything. They have the form they have. I think to go  
11:35 25 in and interlineate or pull a page or do whatever would

11:35 1 only make whatever the situation is worse. And so  
11:35 2 we're stuck with the verdict form we have.

11:35 3 So that's what we're going to do. That's  
11:35 4 what I'm going to do. If you'd like to put an  
11:35 5 objection on the record to me doing that, that's  
11:35 6 obviously your right. I think you've made the record  
11:35 7 of what you'd like to do. And so -- and I'm denying  
11:35 8 that request.

11:36 9 If there's anything else you want to add  
11:36 10 to protect your record -- I don't give advice on how to  
11:36 11 protect your record. I'll give you whatever  
11:36 12 opportunity you want. I just don't know --

11:36 13 MR. TAMKIN: There is something I would  
11:36 14 like to put on the record, Your Honor, which is this  
11:36 15 problem can be solved another way if in the situation  
11:36 16 comes out where there's infringement, direct or  
11:36 17 indirect, by ASGL and the jury doesn't answer the  
11:36 18 remaining questions, that would be an error.

11:36 19 At that point in time, I believe the  
11:36 20 Court can give -- can send the jury back to answer the  
11:36 21 remaining questions, and I would request that the Court  
11:36 22 do that. But that's --

11:36 23 THE COURT: Well, if that happens, let's  
11:36 24 take it up.

11:36 25 MR. TAMKIN: Fair.

11:36 1 THE COURT: If that happens and  
11:36 2 they're -- then I'll take it up. We'll have to figure  
11:36 3 out what to do.

11:36 4 MR. TAMKIN: And I just wanted really to  
11:36 5 alert the Court to that issue.

11:36 6 THE COURT: Thank you. I think that's  
11:36 7 fair.

11:36 8 Anything else?

11:36 9 MR. BURESH: Nothing here, Your Honor.

11:36 10 THE COURT: Okay. They've ordered lunch.  
11:37 11 So I'm going to go out to lunch. I'll probably be gone  
11:37 12 from 12:00 to 1:00.

11:37 13 You all are free to be gone because I'm  
11:37 14 not going to be here to answer any notes, if they have  
11:37 15 any. And so -- and I will probably let them know that  
11:37 16 I'm not going to be here for that hour. And we'll be  
11:37 17 back around 1:00 or 1:15.

11:37 18 So I've got a hearing I'm doing right now  
11:37 19 and so --

11:37 20 (Off-the-record discussion.)

11:38 21 (Recess taken.)

03:36 22 THE COURT: I have received Jury Note 5,  
03:36 23 which asks: What exhibit shows ASUSTeK's U.S. profit  
03:36 24 for May 2018 to 2020 in U.S. dollars?

03:36 25 Let me start by asking the plaintiff: Is

03:36 1 there a specific document that shows that?

03:36 2 MR. COLLARD: There's a specific document  
03:36 3 that shows U.S. profit, but I...

03:36 4 THE COURT: For ASUSTeK?

03:36 5 MR. COLLARD: Yes.

03:37 6 MR. TAMKIN: If you just give us one  
03:37 7 second, Your Honor, we're just looking up those -- the  
03:37 8 document number.

03:37 9 MS. AMSTUTZ: I believe I know them, but  
03:37 10 let me just double-check.

03:39 11 THE COURT: Is there --

03:39 12 MR. COLLARD: There is. It's across four  
03:39 13 exhibits, Your Honor. It's not all in one exhibit.  
03:39 14 It's the annual reports, the consolidated financials,  
03:39 15 and then the spreadsheet for things specific to this  
03:39 16 case.

03:39 17 THE COURT: It's in those and -- why  
03:40 18 don't you come up to the podium, both lawyers come to  
03:40 19 the podium so we make sure, please.

03:40 20 So is it in a manner where I could  
03:40 21 reference them to exhibits and they would have to find  
03:40 22 the information from those exhibits?

03:40 23 MR. COLLARD: Yes, Your Honor.

03:40 24 THE COURT: Okay. And what is the  
03:40 25 defendants' position?



03:40 1 MR. BURESH: The annual reports shouldn't  
03:40 2 be listed in this exercise. They are overall company  
03:40 3 profits and aren't tied to the accused products. So  
03:40 4 would, in my opinion, overinflate the exercise that the  
03:40 5 jury's conducting at this point.

03:40 6 THE COURT: Did the plaintiff's damages  
03:40 7 expert make clear which profits he was relying on in  
03:40 8 his testimony? In other words, did he distinguish  
03:40 9 between -- what defense counsel's concerned about is  
03:40 10 the global profits of ASUSTeK, right?

03:40 11 MR. COLLARD: May I have one second?

03:40 12 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

03:40 13 MR. BURESH: That is what I'm concerned  
03:41 14 about.

03:41 15 MR. COLLARD: Your Honor, in that case,  
03:41 16 the answer is J-18, which was the sales spreadsheet  
03:41 17 that was created specifically for this, broken out by  
03:41 18 time period, that was the basis of the damages report.

03:41 19 THE COURT: Did it show what the U.S.  
03:41 20 profit was?

03:41 21 MR. COLLARD: Yes.

22 (Brief off-the-record discussion.)

03:41 23 MR. COLLARD: I think it's the sales.  
03:41 24 I'd have to look to know if it's sales or profits, Your  
03:41 25 Honor.

03:41 1 THE COURT: Here's what my sense is, is  
03:41 2 the answer is: There's nothing that provides to them  
03:41 3 with specificity -- I mean, you may have --

03:41 4 MR. COLLARD: May I check J-18?

03:41 5 THE COURT: No, you're welcome to check.  
03:41 6 But my sense -- unless you have -- I'm not sure I'm  
03:41 7 going to give it, but I'd like to know if there's  
03:41 8 something that your expert relied on that showed what  
03:41 9 the U.S. profit was.

03:41 10 Was the U.S. profit not the base that the  
03:41 11 damages person worked off of?

03:41 12 MR. COLLARD: He didn't work off profit,  
03:41 13 Your Honor. He worked off of --

03:41 14 THE COURT: Sales?

03:41 15 MR. COLLARD: -- sales revenue.

03:41 16 THE COURT: Okay. Well, if all he talked  
03:41 17 about is sales, I'm not going to do that. So if you  
03:42 18 have something that answers their question with respect  
03:42 19 to what the profit was, if you'll let me know that.

03:42 20 MR. COLLARD: We will check that J-18  
03:42 21 right now.

03:42 22 THE COURT: And I haven't decided to give  
03:42 23 it to them. I just need to know if there's something.

03:42 24 MR. COLLARD: Understood.

25 (Brief off-the-record discussion.)

03:42 1 MR. COLLARD: Your Honor, it does have  
03:42 2 profit. It has cost of goods sold and it has revenue,  
03:42 3 which would -- used to create profit for that time  
03:43 4 period, J-18.

03:43 5 THE COURT: But does it set forth what  
03:43 6 the profit is? I mean, I get what you're telling me is  
03:43 7 it has the information in which they could discern what  
03:43 8 the profit is, at least.

03:43 9 MR. COLLARD: That's what I'm -- yes.  
03:43 10 That's what I'm telling you.

03:43 11 THE COURT: Okay. Now, what is the  
03:43 12 defendants' position with regard to me referencing that  
03:43 13 document for the jury?

03:43 14 MR. BURESH: An additional concern, Your  
03:43 15 Honor, is that that is sales --

03:43 16 THE COURT: I just need yes or no. I  
03:43 17 mean --

03:43 18 MR. BURESH: Well, no. Because that's  
03:43 19 sales information of ACI. It's not sales information  
03:43 20 of ASUSTeK. So there's that additional problem.

03:43 21 THE COURT: So now, here's our problem.  
03:43 22 Generally speaking. I have to leave today somewhere  
03:43 23 between 4:30 and 4:45. And the jury's going to have to  
03:43 24 leave as well. We have no judges here besides me.

03:43 25 Now, on Monday, I have court in Austin.

03:43 1 I won't be back. Judge Gilliland will be here to take  
03:44 2 the verdict. So I'm not going to give this. I thought  
03:44 3 maybe if we had something, it would just speed things  
03:44 4 along. But that's fine. We don't.

03:44 5 Do you want me to let them know that  
03:44 6 they're going home at 4:30 now, or do you want me to  
03:44 7 not let them know and around 4:30 tell them they're  
03:44 8 going home and coming back Monday?

03:44 9 MR. COLLARD: We think there's nothing  
03:44 10 wrong with letting them know what the plan is.

03:44 11 MR. BURESH: We agree.

03:44 12 THE COURT: Okay. That was what I --

03:44 13 MR. BURESH: Get us out of here, Judge.

03:44 14 THE COURT: I've been sitting here too.

03:44 15 (Laughter.)

03:44 16 THE COURT: This may surprise you, but  
03:44 17 I'm not paid by the hour. And so -- not that that  
03:44 18 takes away the pain. I'm just saying that I'm here,  
03:44 19 you know.

03:44 20 Now, I've got these wonderful clerks, the  
03:44 21 greatest court reporter in the world, deputy clerk and  
03:44 22 all that, and we all just kind of sit in the back and,  
03:45 23 you know, sing hymns and do things together to pass the  
03:45 24 time, but I'm equally ready to get home, so...

03:45 25 MR. BURESH: Okay.

03:45 1 THE COURT: So I'm going to say: Ladies  
03:45 2 and gentlemen of the jury, you have all the exhibits.

03:45 3 Let me write it down and I'll read it to  
03:45 4 you, but that's essentially what I'm going to say.

03:45 5 I'm going to get a stamp that says  
03:46 6 essentially this so I don't have to write it.

03:46 7 MR. COLLARD: Your Honor, before you read  
03:46 8 that, do you mind reading the note one more time again  
03:46 9 so I can hear it?

03:46 10 THE COURT: Sure. What exhibit shows  
03:46 11 ASUSTeK's U.S. profits from May 2018 to 2020 in U.S.  
03:46 12 dollars?

03:46 13 MR. COLLARD: Thank you.

03:46 14 THE COURT: What I'm responding is:  
03:46 15 Ladies and gentlemen, you have all of the exhibits  
03:46 16 admitted at trial. Please reference these in your  
03:46 17 deliberations.

03:46 18 MR. BURESH: Fair enough from defendants'  
03:46 19 perspective, Your Honor.

03:46 20 MR. COLLARD: No objection, Your Honor.  
03:46 21 (Recess taken.)

04:29 22 THE COURT: Please remain standing for  
04:29 23 the jury.

04:30 24 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

04:30 25 (Jury entered the courtroom.)

04:30 1 THE COURT: Thank you. You may be  
04:30 2 seated.

04:30 3 Ms. Johnson, it's my understanding you  
04:30 4 are the foreperson?

04:30 5 THE FOREPERSON: Yes.

04:30 6 THE COURT: Do you have a verdict?

04:30 7 THE FOREPERSON: Yes.

04:30 8 THE COURT: Would you hand it to --  
04:30 9 (Off-the-record discussion.)

04:30 10 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask the  
04:30 11 jury to please listen carefully as I read the verdict  
04:30 12 because at the end, I'm going to ask each of you to  
04:30 13 stand if -- to endorse the verdict if it is your  
04:30 14 verdict.

04:31 15 With respect to Question No. 1: Did  
04:31 16 plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that  
04:31 17 ASGL directly infringed the following asserted claims?

04:31 18 With respect to '768 patent, Claim 10:  
04:31 19 Yes.

04:31 20 Claim 13: Yes.

04:31 21 '359 patent, Claim 19: Yes.

04:31 22 With respect to the question: Did  
04:31 23 plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence ACI  
04:31 24 directly infringed the following asserted claims?

04:31 25 With respect to the '768 patent, Claim 10

04:31 1 is yes.

04:31 2 Claim 13 is yes.

04:31 3 The '359 patent, the Claim 19 is yes.

04:31 4 Turning to Page 2.

04:31 5 With regard to Question 2: Did plaintiff  
04:31 6 prove by a preponderance of the evidence that ASUSTeK  
04:31 7 induced infringement of the following asserted claims?

04:31 8 With respect to the '768 patent, Claim  
04:31 9 10, the answer is no.

04:31 10 With respect to Claim 13, the answer is  
04:31 11 no.

04:31 12 With respect to the '359 patent, Claim  
04:31 13 19, the answer is no.

04:32 14 Question 2B: Did plaintiff prove by a  
04:32 15 preponderance of the evidence that ASGL induced  
04:32 16 infringement of the following asserted claims?

04:32 17 With respect to the '768 patent, the  
04:32 18 answer to Claim 10 is no.

04:32 19 Claim 13 is no.

04:32 20 The '359 patent, Claim 19 is no.

04:32 21 With respect to the question on alter  
04:32 22 ego, Question No. 3A: Did plaintiff prove by a  
04:32 23 preponderance of the evidence that ASUSTeK is liable  
04:32 24 for the actions of ASGL?

04:32 25 The answer is yes.

04:32 1 Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of  
04:32 2 the evidence that ASUSTeK is liable for the actions of  
04:32 3 ACI?

04:32 4 The answer is yes.

04:32 5 Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance of  
04:32 6 the evidence that ASGL is liable for the actions of  
04:32 7 ACI?

04:32 8 The answer is yes.

04:32 9 Question No. 4: Did plaintiff prove by a  
04:32 10 preponderance of the evidence that it provided ASUSTeK  
04:32 11 notice of the '768 and '359 patents and specific charge  
04:33 12 of infringement of the same by a specific product prior  
04:33 13 to May 12th, 2020?

04:33 14 The answer is yes.

04:33 15 Question 4B: Did plaintiff prove by a  
04:33 16 preponderance of the evidence that it provided ASGL  
04:33 17 notice of the '768 and '359 patents and a specific  
04:33 18 charge of infringement of the same by a specific  
04:33 19 product prior to May 12th, 2020?

04:33 20 The answer is no.

04:33 21 With regard to invalidity, Question 5:  
04:33 22 Did ASUSTeK and ASGL prove by clear and convincing  
04:33 23 evidence that the following asserted claims are invalid  
04:33 24 because they lack an adequate written description?

04:33 25 With respect to the '768 patent, with



04:33 1 respect to both Claims 10 and 13: No.

04:33 2 With regard to '359, the answer is no.

04:33 3 Question 5B: Did ASUSTeK and ASGL prove  
04:33 4 by clear and convincing evidence the following asserted  
04:33 5 claims are invalid because they are not enabled?

04:34 6 With respect to the '768 patent, both  
04:34 7 Claim 10 and 13, the answer is no.

04:34 8 With regard to the '359 patent, the  
04:34 9 answer is no.

04:34 10 Turning to the damages question.

04:34 11 Question No. 6, 6A: What amount of  
04:34 12 damages do you find that plaintiff has proven by a  
04:34 13 preponderance of the evidence would compensate  
04:34 14 plaintiff for infringement of the claims that you have  
04:34 15 found are infringed and not invalid?

04:34 16 The answer is \$17,970,582.

04:34 17 With regard to the question of willful  
04:34 18 infringement: Did plaintiff prove by a preponderance  
04:34 19 of the evidence that defendants willfully infringed any  
04:34 20 of the following asserted patents?

04:34 21 With regard to the '768 patent, the  
04:34 22 answer is no.

04:34 23 With regard to the '359 patent, the  
04:34 24 answer is no.

04:34 25 Turning to the final page, it is signed

04:34 1 by Ms. Johnson and dated today's date.

04:34 2 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, if you  
04:35 3 agree with the verdict as I read it, would you please  
04:35 4 stand at this time?

5 Thank you. You may be seated.

04:35 6 The record will reflect all seven jurors  
04:35 7 stood.

04:35 8 Okay. First of all, let me thank you all  
04:35 9 for your hard work. Both listening to the evidence, of  
04:35 10 course, and also in your deliberations. The lawyers  
04:35 11 and the parties don't get a chance to thank you, so I  
04:35 12 will thank you on their behalf.

04:35 13 I've given you several instructions  
04:35 14 during the course of the trial about your conduct. Let  
04:35 15 me go through each of those.

04:35 16 First, with respect to talking about your  
04:35 17 service, you can talk about your service. It's  
04:35 18 America. With two exceptions.

04:35 19 One is, you were allowed to see certain  
04:35 20 confidential evidence, sales information and other to  
04:36 21 help you. I would ask that you keep anything you heard  
04:36 22 that we cleared the courtroom for, I would ask you to  
04:36 23 keep that confidential.

04:36 24 And second, I think I told you before you  
04:36 25 began your deliberations, please don't discuss what you

04:36 1 all talked about during your deliberations. Those  
04:36 2 should remain secret from the public.

04:36 3 The parties and their lawyers do not have  
04:36 4 the opportunity to speak to you. And so they won't be  
04:36 5 reaching out, but you can speak to anyone else that you  
04:36 6 care to about the case.

04:36 7 Number two, doing research. I can  
04:36 8 imagine you can't wait to get home and do research  
04:36 9 about the plaintiff or the defendant or the lawyers or  
04:36 10 me. If you look up Bob, he's not yet a Hollywood star,  
04:36 11 but you never know. He may be someday. But if you  
04:36 12 want to do research, knock yourselves out.

04:36 13 Third, if you do use social media,  
04:36 14 whatever that is, you're welcome to. Again, please  
04:37 15 don't discuss your deliberations. Please don't discuss  
04:37 16 anything that was confidential. Other than that, it's  
04:37 17 America. You can post anything that you care to.

04:37 18 So I have one final request, which is I  
04:37 19 like to thank the jurors in person after they've  
04:37 20 deliberated, and I'm about to do that with you all if  
04:37 21 you want to stay. If you don't want to stay, if you've  
04:37 22 had all of us you care to have, you can keep going.  
04:37 23 Not wait. But I would certainly like to thank you in  
04:37 24 person.

04:37 25 It will be very brief, but I'd like to

04:37 1 thank you in person for being here. Especially Ms. --  
2 is it Mijares?

3 JUROR: Mijares.

04:37 4 THE COURT: Mijares. Who gets the award  
04:37 5 for traveling the furthest each day, back and forth.

04:37 6 So -- and so if you want to stay, you're  
04:37 7 welcome to stay. If you want to go, you're welcome to  
04:37 8 go. But regardless, thank you on behalf of the lawyers  
04:37 9 and their parties. You are dismissed.

04:37 10 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

04:37 11 (Jury exited the courtroom.)

04:38 12 THE COURT: Thank you. You may be  
04:38 13 seated.

04:38 14 Ladies and gentlemen, thank you. I  
04:38 15 thought the parties did a great job for their clients.  
04:38 16 The lawyers did a great job for their clients  
04:38 17 throughout the week.

04:38 18 We will take up any post trial motions in  
04:38 19 due course, but I want to thank you all for being here.  
04:38 20 I'm glad that they resolved it today and not Monday.

04:38 21 And is there anything we need to take up?

04:38 22 MR. BURESH: Not for defendants, Your  
04:38 23 Honor.

04:38 24 MR. COLLARD: Not for the plaintiffs.

04:38 25 THE COURT: Thank you for being here. I

04:38 1 hope your clients have a safe trip home. And I'm not  
04:39 2 sure where plaintiff lives. I hope he has a safe trip  
04:39 3 home as well.

04:39 4 So have a good weekend.

04:39 5 (Hearing adjourned.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )  
2 WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS )  
3  
4

5 I, Kristie M. Davis, Official Court  
6 Reporter for the United States District Court, Western  
7 District of Texas, do certify that the foregoing is a  
8 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in  
9 the above-entitled matter.

10 I certify that the transcript fees and  
11 format comply with those prescribed by the Court and  
12 Judicial Conference of the United States.

13 Certified to by me this 7th day of April  
14 2024.

15  
16 /s/ Kristie M. Davis  
KRISTIE M. DAVIS  
Official Court Reporter  
800 Franklin Avenue  
Waco, Texas 76701  
18 (254) 340-6114  
kmdaviscsr@yahoo.com  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

04:39